

1
2
3
4
5

6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7
8

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

DORIS CROSLEY, et al.,

10

Plaintiffs,

No. C 05-04051 JSW

11

v.

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' CROSS
MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

12

CITY OF PITTSBURG, et al.,

13

Defendants.

14

15 On December 13, 2006, this Court approved the parties' stipulation to modify certain
16 pretrial dates, and set the deadline to hear dispositive motions for August 10, 2007. Under this
17 Court's Local Rules, the deadlines for filing dispositive motions was July 6, 2007. On that date,
18 Defendants' timely filed a motion for summary judgment on most of Plaintiffs' claims.
19 Plaintiffs did not file any dispositive motions on that date.

20

On July 20, 2007, Plaintiffs timely filed their opposition to Defendants' motion for
summary judgment. On that date, they also filed a cross-motion for partial summary judgment
on their first cause of action, and noticed the motion for hearing on August 10, 2007. That
motion was not timely filed, and was not filed with a request for leave to late file. As such, the
Court shall not consider the motion on August 10, 2007.

25

If Plaintiffs wish to have the Court consider their cross-motion for summary judgment
past the deadline for filing such a motion, they must file an administrative motion
demonstrating good cause to grant such a request. Any such motion must be filed by no later
than July 25, 2007.

1 In the alternative, the parties may stipulate to hearing cross-motions. If the parties so
2 stipulate, the Court expresses no opinion on whether it will grant a request to hear both motions
3 on August 10, 2007.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5
6 Dated: July 23, 2007


7 **JEFFREY S. WHITE**
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE